STUDENT ANNUAL REPORT

|  |
| --- |
| General data |
| Name of the doctoral study |       |
| Student's name and surname |       |
| Student registration number |       |
| The academic year for which the report is submitted |        |
| Email |       |
| Type of study (please tick box) | Full-time | Part-time |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. MENTOR
 |
| 1.1. Mentor |
| Title, first name and surname | Institution, country |
|       |       |
| 1.2.Co-mentor |
| Title, first name and surname | Institution, country |
|       |       |
| 1.3. Study advisor (only if no mentor has been assigned) |
| Title, first name and surname | Institution, country |
|       |       |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. ANNUAL REPORT
 |
| 2.1. Has a work plan been drawn up? (please tick the box) |  Yes, no. |
| 2.2. If you have marked “no” in the previous question, please explain why and suggest how this should be improved. |
|       |
| 2.3. Describe progress since last report (maximum 500 words) |
|       |
| 2.4. Briefly describe the work plan for the next academic year (maximum 500 words) |
|       |
| 2.5. List the difficulties that affect or may affect the course of your study |
|       |
| 2.6. Participation in scientific and professional projects (in the previous academic year) |
| Institution | From | Until | Project name and Type |
|       |       |       |       |
|       |       |       |       |
|       |       |       |       |
| 2.7. Training abroad (in the previous academic year) |
| Country | Institution | From | Until | Name |
|       |       |       |       |       |
|       |       |       |       |       |
|       |       |       |       |       |
| 2.8. Participation in scientific and professional meetings (in the previous academic year) |
| Institution | From | Until | Name of the set and type of communication |
|       |       |       |       |
|       |       |       |       |
|       |       |       |       |
| 2.9. Items stipulated in the performance plan |
| Subject | ECTS points | Rating |
|       |       |       |
|       |       |       |
|       |       |       |
|       |       |       |
|       |       |       |
| 2.10. Scientific papers (attach Print of published scientific papers from CROSBI electronic Bibliography for the previous academic year) |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. EVALUATION OF MENTORS
 |
| * 1. Please rate on a scale from 1 - to 5 (1 - insufficient, 2 - sufficient, 3 - good, 4 - very good, 5 - excellent)
 |
| Clear setting of research goals and expectations from students |  1 2 3 4 5 |
| Assistance in the planning of annual research activities and professional training |  1 2 3 4 5 |
| Regular mentoring with the student |  1 2 3 4 5 |
| Promotion and assistance in the publication of scientific papers |  1 2 3 4 5 |
| Mentor's relationship with the student overall |  1 2 3 4 5 |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. EVALUATION OF DOCTORAL STUDIES
 |
| * 1. Please assess on a scale of 1 to 5 how satisfied you are with the quality of the annual doctoral study programme you are attending.
 |
| 1 - insufficient 2 - enough 3 - good 4 - very good 5 - excellent |
| * 1. If you have selected 1 or 2 in the previous question, please explain and suggest how this should be improved.
 |
|       |

|  |
| --- |
| Place, date and signature |
| In Opatija, dd/mm/yearSignature(student's name and surname) |